

Matthew M. Lavin (pro hac vice)  
matt.lavin@agg.com  
Arnall Golden Gregory LLP  
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Suite 350S  
Washington, D.C. 20037  
Telephone: 202.677.4030  
Facsimile: 202.677.4031

David M. Lilienstein, SBN 218923  
david@dlawgroup.com  
Katie J. Spielman, SBN 252209  
katie@dlawgroup.com  
DL Law Group  
345 Franklin St.  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Telephone: 415.678.5050  
Facsimile: 415.358.8484

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION**

LD, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

United Behavioral Health, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:20-cv-02254-YGR-JCS

Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

**Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Five  
Additional Pages for their Reply  
Memorandum in Support of Class  
Certification under L.R. 7-11**

**Argument**

Plaintiffs respectfully request leave to file, pursuant to L.R. 7-11, an additional five pages to their reply brief in support of their renewed motion for class certification (ECF 396). Plaintiffs requested, and the Court granted, similar relief for their reply in support of their first motion for class certification. *See* ECF 202, 233.

Local Rule 7-11 permits a party to move for miscellaneous administrative relief, including a motion “to exceed otherwise applicable page limitations.” Good cause exists for the requested relief.

Under Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), a party is entitled to submit a reply brief of 15 pages in length. Plaintiffs’ class certification motion presents complex issues under ERISA and RICO that overlap as to each Defendant. Defendants requested they be permitted to file a single, 35-page response in opposition to Plaintiffs’ motion for class certification in lieu of submitting two, 25-page responses. *See* ECF 401. This Court granted that motion. ECF 402. As the Defendants will be submitting a single, consolidated 35-page brief instead of two separate briefs, Plaintiffs are limited to a single, 15-page reply brief under Civil Local Rule 7-4(b) the instead of the two briefs Plaintiffs would have been entitled to if each Defendant had filed its own opposition. An additional five pages will enable Plaintiffs to address Defendants’ 35-page response brief. Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court permit an additional five pages for Plaintiffs’ reply brief, for a total of 20 pages.

Defendants have indicated they do not oppose the requested relief. Lavin Decl. ¶ 3.

Dated: March 18, 2024

Arnall Golden Gregory LLP

By: /s/ Matthew M. Lavin  
Matthew M. Lavin

DL Law Group

By: /s/ David M. Lilienstein  
David M. Lilienstein  
Katie J. Spielman

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class